

Serial No.: 09/766,022
Art Unit: 2674

REMARKS

Claims 1-33 are currently pending. Claims 26 and 28 have been amended. Support for the amendment to claim 26 is found in page 8, line 22, through page 9, line 11, of the specification as filed. Support for the amendment to claim 28 is found on page 11, line 16, of the specification as filed. Support for new claims 30-33 is found in original claims 10 and 17 and in page 8, line 22, through page 9, line 11, of the specification as filed. It is respectfully submitted that no new matter has been added.

The Patent Office rejected claims 1-10 and 12-28 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Edwards, U.S. Patent No. 6,952,799.

For a claim to be anticipated under 35 U.S.C. 102, each and every non-inherent limitation must be disclosed in a reference. See MPEP 2131.

Claim 1 recites "A method in a device having a plurality of character-entry pressure points for selecting a function in a markup language file comprising a) reading the markup language file; b) detecting a reference to a character encoding having a corresponding function; c) **illuminating at least one character-entry pressure point having a character encoding**; d) detecting an entry by the character-entry pressure point; and e) triggering the function."

Claim 10 recites "A method for selecting a navigation function in a markup language file comprising: reading the markup language file; detecting a reference to a character encoding having a corresponding navigation function; **illuminating a character-entry pressure point having a character encoding**; detecting a pressure actuation of the character-entry pressure point; triggering the navigation function."

Claim 17 recites "A device having a plurality of character-entry pressure points for selecting a function in a markup language file comprising a) means for reading the markup language file; b) means for detecting a reference to a character encoding having a corresponding function; c) means for **illuminating at least one character-entry pressure point having a character encoding**; d) means for detecting a entry by the character-entry pressure point; and e) means for triggering the function."

Edwards does not disclose illuminating at least one character-entry pressure point.

Serial No.: 09/766,022
Art Unit: 2674

Instead, Edwards discloses colored buttons (col. 3, lines 42-48 and 54; col. 6, lines 48-55; col. 7, lines 32-37; col. 14, lines 17-21; col. 16, lines 43-45; col. 17, lines 5-17) that appear to be painted or marked and not illuminated. Thus, Edwards does not anticipate claims 1-25 or 30-33.

Claim 26 recites “A wireless device comprising a display, a manual user data entry device, and a CPU programmed to parse a file to identify at least one occurrence of a string representing a hyperlink and to associate individual ones of identified string occurrences with individual ones of colors associated with the manual user data entry device of said wireless device **using a zone approach in which a color of a hyperlink is reassigned as the hyperlink is repositioned in a viewable window of the display.**”

Edwards does not appear to disclose or fairly suggest assigning color using a zone approach in which a color of a hyperlink is reassigned as the hyperlink is repositioned in a viewable window of the display. Thus, claims 26-29 are not anticipated by Edwards.

Claim 2 recites “wherein illuminating the at least one character-entry pressure point comprises illuminating less than the plurality of character-entry pressure points.” Edwards discloses (col. 7, lines 28-37) colored buttons, does not appear to disclose illuminating any character-entry pressure points. Thus, claim 2 is not anticipated by Edwards.

Claim 3 recites “wherein the device has displayed a number of references and illuminating the at least one character-entry pressure point comprises illuminating the number of character-entry pressure points.” Edwards discloses (col. 7, lines 1-15) buttons that are colored, but Edwards does not appear to disclose illuminating any character-entry pressure points. Thus, claim 3 is not anticipated by Edwards.

Claims 5 and 21 recites “detecting a key release,” a limitation that does not appear to be disclosed or suggested by Edwards. Edwards discloses (col. 7, lines 25-31) pressing a button, but does not teach or suggest detecting a key release. Thus, claims 5 and 21 are not anticipated by Edwards for this additional reason.

Claim 7 recites “triggering a function comprises displaying a card.” Edwards discloses (col. 17, lines 5-22) picture elements, such as GIF graphics, but does not disclose displaying a card. Thus, claim 7 is not anticipated by Edwards.

Serial No.: 09/766,022
Art Unit: 2674

Claims 8 and 24 recite “reading a deck,” a limitation that does not appear to be disclosed or fairly suggested by Edwards. Edwards discloses (col. 9, lines 30-67) paging using world wide web addresses. Thus, claims 8 and 24 are not anticipated by Edwards over the prior art for this additional reason.

Claim 15 recites “displaying a portion of a markup language,” a limitation that does not appear to be disclosed or fairly suggested by Edwards (col. 17, lines 5-22; col. 9, lines 30-67). Thus, claim 15 is not anticipated by Edwards over the prior art of record for this additional reason.

Claim 23 recites “displaying a card,” a limitation that does not appear to be disclosed or fairly suggested by Edwards. Edwards discloses (col. 17, lines 5-22) picture elements, such as GIF graphics, but does not disclose displaying a card. Thus, claim 23 is not anticipated by Edwards over the prior art of record for this additional reason.

The Patent Office rejected claim 11 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Edwards in view of Dugas, U.S. Patent No. 5,612,692.

Edwards discloses keypads that are color coded by attaching a label and does not teach or fairly suggest illuminating the keypads or a desire or need for illuminating the keypads. Edwards is not amenable to modification by Dugas to illuminate the keypads. Thus, claim 11 is allowable over the prior art of record.

The Patent Office rejected claim 29 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Edwards in view of Hawkins, U.S. Patent No. 6,781,575.

Claim 29 is allowable because it depends from allowable base and intervening claims.

New claims 30-33 are believed to be allowable over the prior art of record.

The Patent Office is respectfully requested to reconsider and remove the rejections of the claims 1-10 and 12-28 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) based on Hawkins, claim 11 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) based on Edwards in view of Dugas, and claim 29 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) based on Edwards in view of Hawkins, and to allow all of the pending claims 1-33 as now presented for examination. An early notification of the allowability of claims 1-33 is earnestly solicited.

Serial No.: 09/766,022
Art Unit: 2674

Respectfully submitted:

Walter J. Malinowski
Walter J. Malinowski

March 6, 2006
Date

Reg. No.: 43,423

Customer No.: 29683

HARRINGTON & SMITH, LLP
4 Research Drive
Shelton, CT 06484-6212

Telephone: (203)925-9400
Facsimile: (203)944-0245
email: wmalinowski@hspatent.com

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. BOX 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

3/6/06
Date

Ann Okrentowich
Name of Person Making Deposit